arXiv:1602.03851v1 [math.PR] 11 Feb 2016

A nonlinear Kolmogorov equation for stochastic functional delay differential equations with jumps

Francesco Cordoni[a], Luca Di Persio[b],
Immacolata Oliva[c]

[a] Department of Mathematics, University of Trento,
Via Sommarive, 14, Trento, 38123, Italy
[b] Department of Computer Science, University of Verona,
Strada le Grazie, 15, Verona, 37134, Italy
[c] Dept. of Economics, University of Verona,
Vicolo Campofiore, 2, Verona, 37129, Italy

February 12, 2016

Abstract

We consider a stochastic functional delay differential equation, namely an equation whose evolution depends on its past history as well as on its present state, driven by a pure diffusive component plus a pure jump Poisson compensated measure. We lift the problem in the infinite dimensional space of square integrable Lebesgue functions in order to show that its solution is an L^2-valued Markov process whose uniqueness can be shown under standard assumptions of locally Lipschitzianity and linear growth for the coefficients. Coupling the aforementioned equation with a standard backward differential equation, and deriving some ad hoc results concerning the Malliavin derivative for systems with memory, we are able to derive a non–linear Feynman–Kac representation theorem under mild assumptions of differentiability.

AMS Classification subjects: 34K50; 60H07; 60H10; 60H30; 60G51

Keywords or phrases: stochastic delay differential equations , quadratic variation, Lévy processes, Feynman–Kac formula, mild solution.

1 Introduction

During recent years, an increasing attention has been paid to stochastic equations whose evolution depends not only on the present state, but also on the past history. In particular, it has been shown that memory effects cannot be neglected when dealing with many natural phenomena. As examples, let us mention the coupled *atmosphere–ocean models*, see, e.g., [6], and their applications in describing climate changes in the environmental sciences setting, or the effect of time delay considering population dynamics, when suitable growth models are considered, see, e.g., [1]. Nevertheless, assumptions that will be made throughout the work are mainly taken into account having in mind concrete financial applications. For instance, in [28, 43] the authors pointed out how delay arises in commodity markets and energy markets, when it is necessary to take into account the impact of

E-mail addresses: francesco.cordoni@unitn.it (Francesco Cordoni), luca.dipersio@univr.it (Luca Di Persio), (Immacolata Oliva) immacolata.oliva@univr.it

production and transportation. Similarly, delay naturally arises when dealing with financial instruments as, e.g., *Asian options* or *lookback options*, as studied in, e.g. [3, 7, 11, 26, 27] and references therein.

For the mathematical foundations of the theory of stochastic functional delay differential equations (SFDDEs) we refer to [30], as well as to [31] to many motivating examples concerning the treatment of equations with delay. In particular the monograph [30] represents an early and deep treatment of SFDDE's, where several results concerning existence and uniqueness of solutions to SFDDE's as well as regularity results are derived. The theory of delay equations has seen a renewd attention recently, in particular in [8, 9] an ad hoc stochastic calculus, known as *functional Itô's calculus*, has been derived, based on a suitable Itô's formula for delay equations. Also, in past few years several different works have appeared deriving fundamental results on delay equations based on semigroup theory and infinite dimensional analysis, see, e.g. [19], or based on the calculus via regularization, see, e.g. [12, 21]. Eventually, in [19, 12], it has been shown that SFDDE's, *path-dependent calculus* and delay equations via semigroup theory, are in fact closely connected.

Having in mind possible financial applications, the aim of the present work is to extend some results concerning the *non-linear Feynman-Kac formula* for a forward-backward system with delay, where the driving noise is a non Gaussian Lévy process, using the theory of SFDDE's first introduced in [30]. It is worth to mention that, particularly during last decades, asset price dynamics and, more generally, financial instruments processes, have been widely characterized by trajectories showing sudden changes and ample jumps. It follows that the classical Black and Scholes picture has to be refined by allowing to consider random components constituted by both diffusive and jump components.

We thus consider the following $\mathbb{R}-$valued SFDDE with jumps

$$dX(t) = \mu(t, X(t+\cdot), X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t+\cdot), X(t))dW(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, X(t+\cdot), X(t), z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $W(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion, $\tilde{N}(dt, dz)$ is a compensated Poisson random measure with associated Lévy measure ν. Also the notation $X(t+\cdot)$ means that the *coefficients* μ, σ and γ depend not only on the present state, at time t, of the process X but also on its past values. We consider the finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-valued process solution to (1.1) as an infinite dimensional stochastic process with values in a suitable path-space, by exploiting the concept of *segment* of a process X, see, e.g., [30, 31]. More precisely, in what follows we will denote by $r > 0$ the maximum delay taken into account and $T < \infty$ a fixed finite time horizon. Thus, for an $\mathbb{R}-$valued stochastic process X, we indicate with $X(t)$ the value in $\mathbb{R}$ at time $t \in [0, T]$ and with X_t the corresponding segment, i.e. the trajectory in the time interval $[t-r, t]$, that is $X_t(\cdot) : [-r, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $X_t(\theta) := X(t+\theta)$ for all $\theta \in [-r, 0]$.

Then equation (1.1) can be rewritten as s

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X(t) = & \mu(t, X_t, X(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t, X_t, X(t))\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, X_t, X(t), z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z) \\ (X_0, X(0)) & = (\eta(\theta), x) \end{cases}, \tag{1.2}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and η a suitable $\mathbb{R}-$valued function on $[-r, 0]$.

Remark 1.1. In what follows we will only consider the $1-$dimensional case, the case of a $\mathbb{R}^d-$valued stochastic process, perturbed by a general $\mathbb{R}^m-$dimensional Wiener process and a $\mathbb{R}^n-$dimensional Poisson random measure, with $d > 1$, $m > 1$ and $n > 1$, can be easily obtained from the present one.

In order to take into account the delay component, we study the equation (1.2) in the *Delfour-Mitter space* defined as follows $M^2 := L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$, endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle (X_t, X(t)), (Y_t, Y(t)) \rangle_{M_2} = \langle X_t, Y_t \rangle_{L^2} + X(t) \cdot Y(t)\,,$$

and norm

$$\|(X_t, X(t))\|^2_{M_2} = \|X_t\|^2_{L^2} + |X(t)|^2\,, \quad (X_t, , X(t)) \in M_2\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\cdot$, resp. $|\cdot|$, stands for the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}$, resp. the absolute value, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{L^2}$, resp. $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$, is the scalar product, resp. norm, in $L^2([-r,0];\mathbb{R}) =: L^2$. Note that the space M^2 is a separable Hilbert space, see, e.g., [4]. The *Delfour-Mitter space* can be generalized to be a separable Banach space if we consider $p \in (1,\infty)$, equipped with the appropriate norm. In this work we will consider the case $p = 2$.

Alternatively, we could consider the space $\mathbf{D} := D([-r,0];\mathbb{R})$ of *càdlàg random variables,* which is a non separable Banach space if endowed with the sup norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}} = \sup_{t\in[-r,0]}|\cdot|$. In fact we have that $\mathbf{D} \subset M^2$, with continuous injection, hence, by choosing M^p as state space, we decide to work on a more general space. Nevertheless, choosing M^2 as state space we cannot deal with the case of discrete delays, see, e.g., [4, 30]. The choice of taking $p = 2$, and then to consider the Hilbert space M^2 instead of the general Banach space M^p, or even the *Skorkhod space* $\mathbf{D}$, is mainly due to the extensive use we will do of the *Malliavin derivative*. In fact Malliavin calculus provides a powerful tool to study general regularity properties of a process or, as in the present case, to obtain representation theorem under mild regularity assumptions for the process. Nevertheless its generalization to the infinite dimensional setting, in particular when the driving noise is a general Lévy process, is rather technical and the theory, to our best knowledge, is still not completely developed. For these reasons we will only focus in the present work on the particular case of the state space being a Hilbert space, and we leave the case of $\mathbf{D}-$valued random variable for future investigations.

Despite the fact that the process (1.2) exhibits memory effects, in [30] the author shows that, in the pure diffusive case, lifting the problem to consider a M^2-value solution leads to obtain a solution which is a *Markov process.* Taking in mind latter results and in order to derive the *Kolomogorov equation* associated to equation (1.2), we will consider, following [21, 22, 23], a classical $\mathbb{R}-$valued backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE), coupled with the forward equation equation (1.2), which evolves according to

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y(t) & = \psi\left(t, X_t, X(t), Y(t), Z(t), \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U(t,z)\delta(z)\nu(dz)\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ & \quad + Z(t)\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U(t,z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t,\mathrm{d}z) \\ Y(T) & = \phi(X_T, X(T)) \end{cases}, \tag{1.4}$$

where ψ and ϕ are given suitable functions to be specified later on. We recall that a solution to equation (1.4) is a triplet (Y,Z,U), where Y is the *state process*, while Z and U are the *control processes*.

It is well known that, when the delay is not involved, there exists a Feynman–Kac representation theorem that connects the solution of the coupled forward-backward system (1.2) and (1.4), to a deterministic semi-linear partial integro-differential equation, see, e.g., [16] for further details. When the delay is taken into consideration, previous result holds in the Brownian case, see, e.g., [21, 23]. In the present paper we extend latter results to the case previously introduced, namely taking into consideration a non Gaussian Lévy noise. In particular, exploiting notations already introduced, we will consider the following coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE) with delay, for $t \in [\tau, T] \subset [0,T]$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & = \mu(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\mathrm{d}W(s) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z) \\ (X_\tau^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(\tau)) & = (\eta, x) \in M^2 \\ \mathrm{d}Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & = \psi\left(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), \tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ & \quad + Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z) \\ Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(T) & = \phi(X_T^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(T)) \end{cases}, \tag{1.5}$$

where we have denoted for short by

$$\tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z)\delta(z)\nu(dz)\,.$$

Moreover we have denoted by $X^{\tau,\eta,x}$ the value of the process with starting time $\tau \in [0,T]$ and initial value $(\eta, x) \in M^2$. In what follows we omit the dependence on the initial value point (η, x) and we assume that the process starts at time $\tau = 0$, i.e. $X_t^{0,\eta,x} =: X_t$, if not stated otherwise. In order to simplify notation, most of the results will be proved taking $\tau = 0$, the extension to the general case of $\tau \neq 0$ being straightforward.

We are going to connect the solution to the FBSDE (1.5) to the following partial integro-differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,\eta,x) + \mathcal{L}_t u(t,\eta,x) = \psi\left(t,\eta,x,u(t,\eta,x),\partial_x u(t,\eta,x)\sigma(t,\eta,x),\mathcal{J}u(t,\eta,x)\right) \\ u(T,\eta,x) = \phi(\eta,x)\,, \quad t \in [0,T] \ , \ (\eta,x) \in M^2\,. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_t$ is the *infinitesimal generator* of the forward M^2-valued process in equation (5.1), ∂_x is the derivative with respect to the present state $X(t)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is the operator

$$\mathcal{J}u(t,\eta,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} [u(t,\eta,x+\gamma(t,\eta,x,z)) - u(t,\eta,x)]\delta(z)\nu(dz)\,.$$

In particular, we will consider a mild notion of solution to equation (1.6), so that we say that the function $u : [0,T] \times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *mild solution* to equation (1.6) if there exist $C > 0$ and $m \geq 0$, such that, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and any (η_1, x_1), $(\eta_2, x_2) \in M^2$, u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t,\eta_1,x_1) - u(t,\eta_2,x_2)| \leq C|(\eta_1,x_1) - (\eta_2,x_2)|_2(1 + |(\eta_1,x_1)|_2 + |(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)^m\,, \\ &|u(t,0,0)| \leq C\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

and the following equality holds true

$$u(t,\eta,x) = P_{t,T}\phi(\eta,x) + \int_t^T P_{t,s}[\psi(\cdot, u(s,\cdot), \partial_x u(s,\cdot)\sigma(s,\cdot), \mathcal{J}u(s,\cdot)](\eta,x)\mathrm{d}s\,, \tag{1.8}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, and $(\eta,x) \in M^2$, $P_{t,s}$ being the *Markov semigroup* related to the equation (1.2). In particular, we considered a locally Lipschitz function $u : [0,T] \times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the second variable with at most polynomial growth so that the derivative in equation (1.8) is to be defined as in [23, Section 4], see also section 4 of the present work.

If we define

$$\begin{cases} Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & := u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) \\ Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & := \partial_x u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\ \sigma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) \\ U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z) & := u\left(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) + \gamma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), z)\right) \\ & \quad - u\left(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)\right) \end{cases}$$

then the triplet $(Y^{\tau,\eta,x}, Z^{\tau,\eta,x}, U^{\tau,\eta,x})$ is the unique solution to the backward equation (1.4), where ∂_x is the derivative with respect to the $\mathbb{R}-$valued present state $X(s)$ of $(X_s, X(s))$, u being the *mild solution* to the following *Kolmogorov equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,\eta,x) + \mathcal{L}_t u(t,\eta,x) = \psi\left(t,\eta,x,u(t,\eta,x),\partial_x u(t,\eta,x)\sigma(t,\eta,x),\mathcal{J}u(t,\eta,x)\right) \\ u(T,\eta,x) = \phi(\eta,x)\,, \quad t\in[0,T]\ ,\ (\eta,x)\in M^2 \end{cases} .$$

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall necessary notations also deriving results on the Malliavin derivative for Lévy processes that will be later used; Section 3 is devoted to the characterization of a FSFDE with delay, as well as to the characterization of the infinitesimal generator of the forward process; in Section 4 we describe our main results related to the study of the joint quadratic variation of the forward equation in (1.5) and a suitable function to be better specified later; in Section 5 we give the *non-linear Feynman-Kac theorem* that is later used to derive a deterministic representation to the FBSDE (1.5).

2 Notations and preliminaries

In this Section we introduce the notation used throughout the paper, also presenting basic definitions and main results related to the mathematical techniques involved in our approach.

Let us consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is the *natural* filtration jointly generated by the random variables $W(s)$ and $N(ds,dz)$, for all $z\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} =: \mathbb{R}_0$ and for all $s\in[0,T]$, augmented by all $\mathbb{P}$-null sets, $W := \{W(t), t\in[0,T]\}$ being a 1-dimensional Brownian motion, while N is a 1-dimensional Poisson random measure, independent from W, with Lévy measure $\nu(dz)$ and associated compensated measure $\tilde{N}(dt,dz) := N(dt,dz) - \nu(dz)dt$. We will assume that the Lévy measure ν satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \min\{1, z^2\}\nu(dz) < \infty \quad , \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |z|^2\nu(dz) < \infty\,,$$

we underline that the former condition is a standard assumption in the definition of a Lévy measure ν, whereas the latter assumption implies that the process has a finite second moment, a natural assumption if one has in mind financial applications, see, e.g., [18].

In the following, we fix a *delay* $r>0$, we will use the notation $X(t)$ to denote the state at time t of the real valued process X, whereas we use X_t to denote the *segment* of the path described by X during the time interval $[t-r,t]$ with values in a suitable infinite dimensional path space. In particular, we refer to the couple

$$\Big((X(t+\theta))_{\theta\in[-r,0]}\,, X(t)\Big) =: (X_t, X(t))\ .$$

From now on, we define $M^2 := L^2\times\mathbb{R} := L^2([-r,0];\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{R}$ as the space of square integrable random variables, endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle (X_t, X(t)), (Y_t, Y(t))\rangle_{M_2} = \langle X_t, Y_t\rangle_{L^2} + X(t)\cdot Y(t)\,,$$

and norm

$$\|\,(X_t, X(t))\,\|^2_{M^2} = \|X_t\|^2_{L^2} + |X(t)|^2\,, \tag{2.1}$$

namely the *Delfour-Mitter space*, which is a separable Hilbert space, see, e.g., [30] and reference therein for details.

Furthermore, for any $p \in [2,\infty)$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}^p(t) := \mathcal{S}^p([0,t];M^2)$ and we say that a M^2-valued stochastic process $(X_s, X(s))_{s\in[0,t]}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^p(t)$ if

$$\|X\|^p_{\mathcal{S}^p(t)} := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\,\in\,[0,t]} \|(X_s, X(s))\|^p_{M^2}\right] < \infty\,.$$

We denote for short $\mathcal{S}^p := \mathcal{S}^p(T)$. For the sake of simplicity, the following notation is used throughout the paper: $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the norm in M^2 and $|\cdot|$ the absolute value in $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.1. Let us stress that for the sake of brevity we will consider here a $\mathbb{R}-$valued stochastic process X, nevertheless any result that follows can be easily generalized to the case of an $\mathbb{R}^d-$ valued stochastic process. In particular we would have considered the *Delfour-Mitter space* $M^2([-r,0];\mathbb{R}^d) := L^2([-r,0];\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathbb{R}^d$, see, e.g. [4].

3 Forward stochastic functional differential equation with delay

This Section is devoted to the characterization of the delay equation (1.2). Some results are already established in literature, such as existence and uniqueness of solutions, whereas others are proved here for the first time.

As briefly said in Sec. 2, the main goal of this work is to focus on a stochastic functional delay differential equation (SFDDE) of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X(t) = \mu(t, X_t, X(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t, X_t, X(t))\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\gamma(t, X_t, X(t), z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z) \\ (X_0, X(0)) = (\eta, x) \in M^2 \end{cases}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. We will assume the functionals μ, σ and γ fulfils the following assumptions.

Assumption 3.1. (A1) the coefficients

$$\mu : [0,T]\times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}\,, \quad \sigma : [0,T]\times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}\,, \quad \gamma : [0,T]\times M^2\times\mathbb{R}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous.

(A2) There exists $K > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ and for all (η_1, x_1), $(\eta_2, x_2) \in M^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mu(t,\eta_1,x_1) - \mu(t,\eta_2,x_2)|^2 + |\sigma(t,\eta_1,x_1) - \sigma(t,\eta_2,x_2)|^2 \\ &\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |\gamma(t,\eta_1,x_1,z) - \gamma(t,\eta_2,x_2,z)|^2\nu(dz) \\ &\qquad \le K|(\eta_1,x_1) - (\eta_2,x_2)|_2^2(1 + |(\eta_1,x_1)|_2^2 + |(\eta_2,x_2)|_2^2)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper, we will look for *strong solution* to equation (3.1) in the following sense.

Definition 3.2. We say that $X := (X_t, X(t))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a *strong solution* to equation (3.1) if for any $t \in [0,T]$ X is indistinguishably unique and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$-adapted and it holds $\mathbb{P}-$a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) = x &+ \int_0^t \mu(s, X_s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s, X(s))dW(s) \\ &+ \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s, X_s, X(s), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz)\,, \\ X_0 &= \eta\,. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we will denote by $(X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))$ the M^2-value of the process at time $t \in [\tau, T]$, with initial value $(\eta, x) \in M^2$ at initial time $\tau \in [0, T]$. However, for the sake of brevity, in most of the results, we will avoid to state the dependence on the initial value (τ, η, x) writing for short (X_t, X^t) instead of $(X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))$.

Now we provide an existence and uniqueness result for equation (1.2).

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that μ, σ and γ satisfy conditions $(A1)-(A2)$ in Assumptions 3.1. Then, for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $(\eta, x) \in M^2$, there exists a unique strong solution to the SFDDE (1.2) in $\mathcal{S}^p$ and there exists $C_1 := C_1(K, L, T, p)$ such that*

$$\|X^{\eta,x}\|^p_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq C_1(1 + |(\eta, x)|_2^p)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, the map $(\eta, x) \mapsto X^{\eta,x}$ is Lipschitz continuous from M^2 to $\mathcal{S}^p$ and it exists $C_2 := C_2(K, L, T)$ such that

$$\|X^{\eta_1,x_1} - X^{\eta_2,x_2}\|^p_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq C_2|(\eta_1, x_1) - (\eta_2, x_2)|_2^p\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (3.1) are proved in [4], as well as the estimate in equation (3.2).

As regards equation (3.3), exploiting the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see, e.g. [2, Section 4.4.], we have that, for any $t \in [0,T]$, denoting for short by C several positive constants,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|X^{\eta_1,x_1} - X^{\eta_2,x_2}|^p_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \\
&= \mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |(X_t^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(t)) - (X_t^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(t))|_2^p \leq \\
&\leq C|(\eta_1, x_1) - (\eta_2, x_2)|_2^p \\
&+ C\left[\int_0^t |\mu(s, X_s^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(s)) - \mu(s, X_s^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(s))|^p ds\right. \\
&+ \left(\int_0^t |\sigma(s, X_s^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(s)) - \sigma(s, X_s^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(s))|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&+ \left.\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |\gamma(s, X_s^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(s), z) - \gamma(s, X_s^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(s), z)|^p \nu(dz) ds\right]\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so that from the Lipschitz continuity in assumption 3.1 $A2$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |(X_t^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(t)) - (X_t^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(t))|_2^p \leq \\
&\leq C|(\eta_1, x_1) - (\eta_2, x_2)|_2^p + \int_0^T \sup_{s\in[0,q]} |(X_s^{\eta_1,x_1}, X^{\eta_1,x_1}(s)) - (X_s^{\eta_2,x_2}, X^{\eta_2,x_2}(s))|_2^p\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows from Grownall's inequality. □

Remark 3.4. We want to stress that a result analogous to Thm. 3.3 can be obtained by replacing the Delfour-Mitter space M^2 with the space $\mathbf{D}$ of càdlàg functions, with the corresponding sup norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}} = \sup_{t\in[-r,0]} |\cdot|$, see e.g. [4, 37].

When the SFDDE is driven by a Gaussian noise, a result analogous to Thm. 3.3 has been derived, in different and yet related setting, in [30, 31].

One of the major results, when one is to lift the delay equation into an infinite dimensional setting exploiting the notion of *segment*, is that one is able to recover the Markov property of the driving equation, see, e.g [31, Theorem II.1], similarly equation (3.1) results to be an M^2-valued Markov process.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $X = \{(X_t, X(t))\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the strong solution to equation (3.1), then the process X is a Markov process in the sense that*

$$\mathbb{P}((X_t, X(t)) \in B|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{P}((X_t, X(t)) \in B|(X_s, X(s)) = (\eta, x)), \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.,$$

for all $0 \le s \le t \le T$ and for all B a Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}(M^2)$.

Proof. See, e.g. [4, Th. 3.10], or also, see, e.g., [37, Prop. 3.3] or [34, Sec.9.6]. □

Having shown in Proposition 3.5 that X is a M^2-valued Markov process, we can therefore introduce the transition semigroup $P_{\tau,t}$, acting on the space of Borel bounded function on M^2, denoted by $B_b(M^2)$, namely, we define

$$P_{\tau,t} : B_b(M^2) \to B_b(M^2), \quad P_{\tau,t}[\varphi](x) := \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^{\tau,\eta,x})], \qquad \varphi \in B_b(M^2). \tag{3.4}$$

Concerning the infinitesimal generator we have the following proposition, we refer to [2] to a deep introduction on Markov semigroups.

Proposition 3.6. *Let us consider equation (3.1) and a function $\varphi : [0,T] \times L^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is differentiable with continuous derivative w.r.t. the first variable t, Fréchet differentiable with continuous Fréchet derivative w.r.t. the second variable η and twice differentiable w.r.t. the third variable x. Also assume that $\eta \in W^{1,2}$.*

We denote by ∂_t, resp. D, resp. ∂_x, the derivative w.r.t. the first variable, resp. the second variable, resp. the third variable. Also we will denote by ∂_θ the (weak) derivative of η.

Then the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}_t$ of equation (3.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_t\varphi(t,\eta,x) &= \left\langle D\varphi(t,\eta,x), \partial_\theta^+\eta\right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \partial_x\varphi(t,\eta,x)\mu(t,\eta,x) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}\varphi(t,\eta,x)\sigma^2(t,\eta,x) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\varphi(t,\eta,x+\gamma(t,\eta,x,z)) - \varphi(t,\eta,x) - \gamma(t,\eta,x,z)\partial_x\varphi(t,\eta,x))\,\nu(dz).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\partial_\theta^+ : W^{1,2} \subset L^2 \to L^2$ is the right derivative in L^2.

Proof. The proof follows from a direct application of Itô's formula, see, e.g. [4]. □

Similarly, proposition 3.6, can be proved alongside [32, Lemma 9.3]. Nevertheless, following [21, 22, 23] we are not interested in a full characterization of the domain of the infinitesimal generator since this goes beyond the aim of the present work.

3.1 Malliavin calculus for jump processes with delay

In this subsection we recall some definitions and main results concerning Malliavin operator and Skorokhod integral for jump processes. We will give fundamental definition in order to fix the notation and to recall the most effective result, we refer to [18, 35, 42] for further references and proofs of some results. We will also derive some ad hoc results concerning the differentiability of SFDDE's, which will turn to be necessary in the rest of the paper. Topics covered in this Subsection largely follow [18, 35] and, to a lesser extent, [17, 25, 42].

We would like to stress that, for the sake of brevity, we will state the results just for the jump component and we refer to [23, 31] for the Malliavin derivative for stochastic processes without jumps, namely in what follows we will set $D_{s,0} =: D_s$.

We denote by $I_n(f)$ the n-fold *iterated stochastic integral* w.r.t. the random measure $\tilde{N}$, as

$$I_n(f_n) := \int_{([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^n} f((t_1,z_1),\dots,(t_n,z_n))\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t_1,\mathrm{d}z_1)\dots\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t_n,\mathrm{d}z_n) \in L^2(\Omega)\,, \tag{3.6}$$

where $f \in L^2\left(([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^n\right) = L^2\left(([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^n), \bigotimes \nu(dz)dt\right)$ is a deterministic function.

Thus, every random variable $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ can be represented as an infinite sum of iterated integrals of the form (3.6). This representation is known as *chaos expansion*, see, e.g.[18, Def. 12.1] or [35, Th. 1].

Theorem 3.7. *The stochastic Sobolev space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ consists of $\mathcal{F}-$measurable random variable $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that, for $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$, with $f_n \in L^2\left(([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^n\right)$, it holds*

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n)\,, \tag{3.7}$$

with the following norm

$$\|F\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nn!\|I_n(f_n)\|^2_{L^2(([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^n)}\,.$$

Given the *chaos expansion* in equation (3.7), we can introduce the *Malliavin derivative* $D_{t,z}$ and its domain $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, see, e.g. [18, Def. 12.2].

Definition 3.8. Let us consider a random variable $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, the *Malliavin derivative* is the operator $D : \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)$ defined as

$$D_{t,z}F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}(f_n(\cdot,t,z)),\ F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2},\ z \neq 0\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Since the operator D is closable, see, e.g., [18, Thm. 3.3 and Thm 12.6], we denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the domain of its closure.

The following result, see [18, Thm. 12.8] for the proof, represents a *chain rule* for Malliavin derivative.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and let ϕ be a real continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\phi(F) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\phi(F + D_{t,z}F) \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)$. Then, $\phi \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and*

$$D_{t,z}\phi(F) = \phi(F + D_{t,z}F) - \phi(F)\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Once the Malliavin derivative has been defined, we are able to introduce its adjoint operator, the *Skorokhod integral*, in particular next definition is taken from [18, Def. 11.1], see, also [35, Sec. 3] for details.

Definition 3.10. Let $\delta : L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0) \to L^2(\Omega)$ be the adjoint operator of the derivative D. The set of processes $h \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)$ such that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{s,z}F\, h_t(z)\,\nu(dz)ds\right| \leq C\|F\|\,,$$

for all $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, form the domain of δ, denoted by $dom\,\delta$.

For every $h \in dom\,\delta$ we define the *Skorokhod integral* as

$$\delta(h) := \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} h_t(z)\tilde{N}(\hat{dt},dz)\,,$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$.

Definition 3.11. We denote by $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$ the space of $\mathcal{F}-$adapted processes $h : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_t \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |h_t(z)|\nu(dz)dt < \infty$$
$$\mathbb{E}\int_{([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0)^2} |D_{t,z}h_s(\zeta)|\nu(d\zeta)ds\nu(dz)dt < \infty\,.$$

From Definition above, We have $\mathbb{L}^{1,2} \subset dom\,\delta$. If $h \in \mathbb{L}^{1,2}$ and $D_{t,z}h \in dom\,\delta$, then $\delta(h) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and

$$D_{t,z}\delta(h) = h(z) + \delta(D_{t,z}h)\,, \tag{3.10}$$

see, e.g. [25]. Notice also that $\mathbb{L}^{1,2} \simeq L^2([0,T];\mathbb{D}^{1,2})$.

Next result will needed in order to prove subsequent results, see, e.g. [35, Prop. 6].

Proposition 3.12. *Let h_t be a predictable square integrable process. Then, if $h \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, we have*

$$D_{s,z}\int_0^t h_\tau d\tau = \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}h_\tau d\tau\,,$$
$$D_{s,z}\int_0^t h_\tau dW(\tau) = \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}h_\tau dW(\tau)\,,$$
$$D_{s,z}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} h_\tau \tilde{N}(d\tau,dz) = h_s + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{\tau,\zeta}h_\tau \tilde{N}(d\tau,d\zeta)\,.$$

The next is the main result of the current subsection concerning the differentiability of the SFDDE (3.1).

Theorem 3.13. *Let us suppose that Assumptions 3.1 (A1)-(A2) hold and $X = \{X(t)\}_{t\in[-r,T]}$ is the solution to equation (3.1). Then, $X \in L^2\left([-r,T];\mathbb{D}^{1,2}\right)$ and, for every $s \in [0,T]$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}_0$, the stochastic process $\{D_{s,z}X(t) : t \in [s,T]\}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sup_{t\in[s,T]} |D_{s,z}X(t)|^2 \nu(dz)ds\right] < \infty\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In particular, for any $t \in [0,T]$, $X(t) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and it holds

$$\begin{cases} D_{s,z}X(t) & = \gamma(s,X_s,X(s),z) + \int_s^t D_{s,z}\mu(u,X_u,X(u))du \\ & \quad + \int_s^t D_{s,z}\sigma(u,X_u,X(u))dW(u) + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{s,z}\gamma(u,X_u,X(u),\zeta)\tilde{N}(du,d\zeta)\,,\ . \\ D_sX(t) & = 0\,, \quad t \in [-r,s) \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}_0$, there exists a measurable version of the two-parameter process

$$D_{s,z}X_t = \{D_{s,z}X_t(\theta) : s \in [0,T]\,, \theta \in [-r,0]\}\,.$$

Proof. We will use a standard Picard's approximation scheme, see, e.g. [18, Th. 17.2]. Let $X^0(t) = x$ and $X_t^0 = \eta$, then set, for $n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} X^{n+1}(t) &= x + \int_0^t \mu(s,X_s^n,X^n(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s^n,X^n(s))dW(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s,X_s^n,X^n(s),z)\tilde{N}(ds,dz)\,, \\ X_0^{n+1} &= \eta\,, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation $X_s^n := \{X^n(s+\theta)\}_{\theta\in[-r,0]}$.

We are going to prove by induction over n that $X^n(t) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ for any $t \in [0,T]$, $D_{s,z}X(t)$ is a predictable process and that

$$\xi_{n+1}(t) \le C_1 + C_2 \int_{-r}^{t} \xi_n(s)ds\,,$$

where C_1, C_2 are some suitable constants and

$$\xi_n(s) := \sup_{0\le s\le t} \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sup_{s\le\tau\le t} |D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)|^2 \nu(dz) < \infty\,.$$

For $n = 0$ the above claim is trivially satisfied. Let us thus assume that the previous assumptions hold for n, we have to show that they hold also for $n+1$. Indeed we have that $\int_0^t \mu(s, X_s^n, X^n(s))ds$, $\int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s))dW(s)$ and $\int_0^t \gamma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$,, and proposition 3.12 guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,z}\int_0^t \mu(s, X_s^n, X^n(s))ds &= \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\mu(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))d\tau \\ D_{s,z}\int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s))dW(s) &= \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\sigma(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))dW(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,z}\int_0^t \gamma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) &= \gamma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s), z) \\ &+ \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{\tau,\zeta}\gamma(\tau, X_s^\tau, X^n(\tau), \zeta)\tilde{N}(d\tau, d\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

for $s \le t$. Consequently, for any $t \in [0,T]$, $X^{n+1}(t) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,z}X^{n+1}(t) = \gamma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s), z) &+ \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\mu(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))d\tau \\ &+ \int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\sigma(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))dW(\tau) \\ &+ \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{\tau,\zeta}\gamma(\tau, X_s^\tau, X^n(\tau), \zeta)\tilde{N}(d\tau, d\zeta)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By squaring both sides of equation (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|D_{s,z}X^{n+1}(t)\right|^2 \le 4\left|\gamma(s, X_s^n, X^n(s), z)\right|^2 &+ \left|\int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\mu(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))d\tau\right|^2 \\ &+ 4\left|\int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\sigma(\tau, X_\tau^n, X^n(\tau))dW(\tau)\right|^2 \\ &+ 4\left|\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{\tau,\zeta}\gamma(\tau, X_s^\tau, X^n(\tau), \zeta)\tilde{N}(d\tau, d\zeta)\right|^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By exploiting Doob maximal inequality, stochastic Fubini's theorem and Itô isometry, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\sup_{s\le\tau\le t}\left|D_{s,z}X^{n+1}(t)\right|^2\nu(dz) &\le C\left[\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|\gamma(s,X^n_s,X^n(s),z)\right|^2\nu(dz)\right.\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left|\int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\mu(\tau,X^n_\tau,X^n(\tau))d\tau\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\left|\int_s^t D_{\tau,z}\sigma(\tau,X^n_\tau,X^n(\tau))dW(\tau)\right|^2\\
&\left.+\mathbb{E}\left|\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}D_{\tau,\zeta}\gamma(\tau,X^\tau_s,X^n(\tau),\zeta)\tilde{N}(d\tau,d\zeta)\right|^2\right]\\
&\le C\left[\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|\gamma(s,X^n_s,X^n(s),z)\right|^2\nu(dz)\right.\\
&+\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\left|D_{\tau,z}\mu(\tau,X^n_\tau,X^n(\tau))\right|^2d\tau+\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\left|D_{\tau,z}\sigma(\tau,X^n_\tau,X^n(\tau))\right|^2d\tau\\
&\left.+\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{\tau,\zeta}\gamma(\tau,X^\tau_s,X^n(\tau),\zeta)\right|^2\nu(dz)d\tau\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

where we denote for short by $C>0$ a suitable constant.

Exploiting Assumptions 3.1 together with Theorem 3.9, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\sup_{s\le\tau\le t}|D_{s,z}X^{n+1}(\tau)|^2\nu(dz)\le\\
&\le C_1\int_s^t\mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}|\left(D_{s,z}X^n_\tau,D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)\right)|_2^2\nu(dz)d\tau+C_2\left(1+\mathbb{E}|\left(X^n_\tau,X^n(\tau)\right)|_2^2\right)\\
&\le C_1\left(\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\int_{-r}^0\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{s,z}X^n(\tau+\theta)\right|^2\nu(dz)d\theta d\tau+\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)\right|^2d\nu(dz)\tau\right)+C_3(1+\lambda)\\
&\le C_1\left(\mathbb{E}\int_{-r}^0\int_s^{t+\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{s,z}X^n(p)\right|^2\nu(dz)dpd\theta+\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)\right|^2\nu(dz)d\tau\right)+C_3(1+\lambda)\\
&\le C_4\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left|D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)\right|^2\nu(dz)d\tau+C_3(1+\lambda),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

where C_1, C_2, C_4 and C_4 denote some suitable constants and λ is such that

$$
\lambda=\sup_n\mathbb{E}\sup_{-r\le s\le T}|X^n(s)|_2^2<\infty.
$$

What is more, we obtain

$$
X^{n+1}=\{X^{n+1}(t)\}_{t\in[-r,T]}\in L^2(\Omega\times[-r,T]),
$$

and for any t, $X^{n+1}(t)\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, so that $X^{n+1}\in L^2(\Omega\times[-r,T];\mathbb{D}^{1,2})$ and, for $p\le s$, $D_{s,z}X^{n+1}(p)=0$.

It follows that, for any $z\in\mathbb{R}_0$, it exists a measurable version of the two-parameter process

$$
D_{s,z}X^{n+1}_t=\left\{D_{s,z}X^{n+1}_t(\theta)\,:\,s\in[0,T],\theta\in[-r,0]\right\},
$$

such that $D_{s,z}X^{n+1}_t\in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times[-r,0])$, see, e.g. [31, Sec. 4].

Therefore, the inductive hypothesis is fulfilled by X^{n+1} and

$$
\mathbb{E}\sup_{s\le T}|X^n(s)-X(s)|^2\to0\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty.
$$

Finally, thanks to a discrete version of Gronwall's lemma, see, e.g. [18, Th. 17.2] and applying equation (3.15), we have

$$\sup_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{E}\int_{-r}^{T}|D_{s,z}X^n(\tau)|^2d\tau<\infty\,,$$

so that $X(t)\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, see, e.g. [18, Th. 17.2].

By repeating the same reasoning as before, we have

$$X=\{X(t)\}_{t\in[-r,T]}\,\in\,L^2(\Omega\times[-r,T]),\;X(t)\,\in\,\mathbb{D}^{1,2}\,,$$

for any t, so that $X\in L^2(\Omega\times[-r,T];\mathbb{D}^{1,2})$. The proof is complete by observing that, for any $z\in\mathbb{R}_0$, there exists a measurable version of the two-parameter process

$$D_{s,z}X_t=\{D_{s,z}X_t(\theta)\,:\,s\in[0,T]\,,\theta\in[-r,0]\}\,,$$

such that $D_{s,z}X_t\in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T]\times[-r,0])$. □

4 Joint quadratic variation

In order to prove the main result of this work, which consists in giving an explicit *Feynman-Kac* representation formula for a coupled forward-backward system with delay, we need first to prove a joint quadratic variation result. The main advantage of such an approach is to overcome difficulties that may arise in dealing with the Itô's formula in infinite dimension, since, in general, the process X_t fails to be a semi-martingale, so we cannot rely in standard Itô calculus. Furthermore, we are able to relax hypothesis concerning the differentiability of the coefficients.

In particular, following [21, 23], we introduce a *generalized covariation* process. The definition of joint generalized quadratic variation we consider in the present paper has been first introduced in [39] and [38, 40] with the difference that they consider the limit to hold uniformly on compacts in probability. We have chosen here, following [21, 23], to consider the limit in probability because that the limiting procedure is easier with a stronger notion of convergence, such as the convergence in probability.

Definition 4.1. Given a couple of $\mathbb{R}$-valued stochastic processes $(X(t),Y(t))$, $t\geq 0$, their *joint quadratic variation* on $[0,T]$, is given by

$$\langle X(t),Y(t)\rangle_{[0,T']}:=p-\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}C^{\epsilon}_{[0,T']}(X(t),Y(t))\,,$$

where $p-\lim$ denotes the limit to be taken in probability and

$$C^{\epsilon}_{[0,T']}(X(t),Y(t)):=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'}(X(t+\epsilon)-X(t))(Y(t+\epsilon)-Y(t))\mathrm{d}t,\;\epsilon>0\,,\tag{4.1}$$

with $0\leq T'+\epsilon<T$.

It is shown in [39, Prop. 1.1] the definition of joint quadratic variation coincides with the standard notion of quadratic variation, see, e.g. [2].

Before stating our main result we are to better introduce a mild notion of derivative we will use throughout the paper. In what follows we will consider a function $u:[0,T]\times M^2\to\mathbb{R}$, there exist $C>0$ and $m\geq 0$, such that, for any $t\in[0,T]$ and any (η_1,x_1), $(\eta_2,x_2)\in M^2$, u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&|u(t,\eta_1,x_1)-u(t,\eta_2,x_2)|\leq C|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2(1+|(\eta_1,x_1)|_2+|(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)^m\,,\\&|u(t,0,0)|\leq C\,.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

that is we require the function u to be Lipschitz continuous without requiring any further regularity concerning differentiability. Nevertheless, in what follows, we will use the notation of ∂_x^σ. In particular following [23] we will introduce a mild notion of derivative, that is the *generalized directional gradient* ∂_x^σ. When u is sufficiently regular, it can be shown that the *generalized directional gradient*, in the direction $\sigma(t,\eta,x)$, of a function u, coincides with $\partial_x u(t,\eta,x)\sigma(t,\eta,x)$.

The definition, as well as the characterization of several properties, for the *generalized directional gradient* has been provided in [23]. We will only state the definition of *generalized directional gradient*, whereas we refer to [23] to a complete treatment of the topic. The definition of *generalized directional gradient* relies on the definition of *generalized quadratic variation* of the function u with the Brownian motion W, introduced in [38, 39], and denoted by $\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot, X(\cdot), W(\cdot)\rangle_{\tau,t}$, $0 \le \tau < t \le T$.

In particular it has been shown in [23] that the following holds

$$\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot, X(\cdot), W(\cdot)\rangle_{\tau,t} = \int_\tau^t \zeta(s, X_s, X(s))ds\,, \tag{4.3}$$

for $\zeta : [0,T]\times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a suitable measurable map, see also [21, 22, 23] for details. Under suitable hypothesis of regularity, in [23] the authors show that

$$\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot, X(\cdot), W(\cdot)\rangle_{\tau,t} = \int_\tau^t \partial_x u(t, X_s, X(s))\sigma(t, X_s, X(s))ds\,, \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where we denote by ∂_x the derivative w.r.t. the present state. Hence, equation (4.3) can be considered as the definition of the *generalized directional gradient* of the function u along the direction σ. We say that the map $\zeta : [0,T]\times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the directional gradient of u, or equivalently that $\zeta \in \partial_x^\sigma$, if equation (4.3) holds. Therefore, we use for short the notation $\partial_x^\sigma u$ to represent an element of the *generalized directional gradient.* Since this topic lies outside our goals, having been deeply studied in a more general setting in [23], we skip every technicality and invite the interested reader to refer to [23].

The following result represents the core of this paper.

Theorem 4.2. *Let us assume that $u : [0,T]\times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz w.r.t. the second variable and with at most polynomial growth, namely, there exist $C > 0$ and $m \ge 0$, such that, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and any (η_1, x_1), $(\eta_2, x_2) \in M^2$, u satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t,\eta_1,x_1) - u(t,\eta_2,x_2)| \le C|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2(1+|(\eta_1,x_1)|_2+|(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)^m\,,\\ &|u(t,0,0)| \le C\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then, for every $(\eta,x) \in M^2$ and $0 \le \tau \le T' \le T$, the process

$$\{u(t, X_t^{(\tau,\eta,x)}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)),\, t \in [\tau, T']\}$$

admits a joint quadratic variation on the interval $[\tau, T']$ with

$$J(t) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\tilde{N}(ds, dz),$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot^{(\tau,\eta,x)}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(\cdot)), J(\cdot)\Big\rangle_{[\tau,T']} = \int_\tau^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\Big[u(s, X_s^{(\tau,\eta,x)}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)\\ &+\gamma(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), z) - u(s, X_s^{(\tau,\eta,x)}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s))\Big]\, N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Remark 4.3. An analogous of [23, Prop. 4.4] is valid in the present case, that is the following representation holds

$$\left\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{(\tau,\eta,x)}(\cdot)), W(\cdot)\right\rangle_{[\tau,T']} = \int_\tau^{T'} \partial_x^\sigma u(s, X_s^{(\tau,\eta,x)}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s))ds\,,$$

where ∂_x^σ is the *generalized directional gradient*. Tha claim follows from [23] by observing that the Poisson random measure does not affect the result and the proof follows exactly the same steps as in [23].

Proof. Without loss of generality, we prove the result with $\tau = 0$, as the case of a general initial time $\tau \neq 0$ can be proved by using the same techniques. Fix $(\eta, x) \in M^2$ and a time horizon $T' \in [0, T]$ and denote for brevity $X^{(0,\eta,x)}$ by X. In what follows we will denote with $\tilde{N}(\hat{dt}, dz)$ the Skorkhod integral.

In order to shorten the notation set

$$v_t := (u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\mathbb{1}_{[0,T']}(t)\,,$$

and

$$A^\epsilon := \{(t,s) \in [0,T'] \times [0,T'] \,:\, 0 \le t \le T'\,,\ t \le s \le t+\epsilon\}\,.$$

Thanks to equation (4.5) and theorem 3.13, we have $v_t \in \mathbb{L}^{1,2}$, so that, for any t, $v_t \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and then $v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,\cdot) \in L^2(\Omega \times [0,T])$. Furthermore, equation (3.10) implies that v_t is Skorkhod integrable and from [18, Th. 12.11] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)\tilde{N}(\hat{dt}, dz) &= v_t \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)\tilde{N}(\hat{dt}, dz)\\ &\quad - \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z D_{s,z} v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s) N(ds, dz) =: z_t\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

which holds since $z \in L^2(\Omega \times [0,T])$.

equation (4.7) implies, for a.a. $t \in [0, T']$,

$$\begin{aligned}&u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))(J_{t+\epsilon} - J_t)\\ &= u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t)) \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\tilde{N}(ds, dz)\\ &= \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z D_{s,z} \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) N(ds, dz)\\ &+ \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) \tilde{N}(ds, dz)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let us integrate the right-hand side of equation (4.8) in $[0, T']$ w.r.t. t. By noticing that the left-hand side equals to ϵC^ϵ, we write the right-hand side as follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{T'} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z D_{s,z} \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) N(ds, dz)dt\\ &+ \int_0^{T'} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) \tilde{N}(\hat{ds}, dz)dt\\ &= \int_0^{T'} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z D_{s,z} \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) N(ds, dz)dt\\ &+ \int_0^{T'+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \int_{(s-\epsilon)^+}^{s\wedge T'} z \left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right) dt\tilde{N}(\hat{ds}, dz)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

It remains to verify that $\int_0^{T'} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,\cdot)dt$ appearing in equation (4.9) is Skorokhod integrable. From the definition of Skorokhod integral, by using equation (4.7) for $G \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and the *duality formula*, see e.g. [18, equation (12.14)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \int_0^T zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dtD_{s,z}G\nu(dz)ds\right] \\
&= \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)D_{s,z}G\nu(dz)ds\right]dt \\
&= \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[G\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)D_{s,z}\tilde{N}(\hat{ds},dz)\right]dt = \mathbb{E}\left[G\int_0^T z_t dt\right],
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\int_0^{T'} v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,\cdot)dt$ is Skorokhod integrable. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt\tilde{N}(\hat{ds},dz) \\
&\quad = \int_0^T z_t dt = \int_0^T \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)\tilde{N}(\hat{ds},dz)dt\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Exploiting again equation (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zv_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt\tilde{N}(\hat{ds},dz) &= \int_0^T zv_t(J_{t+\epsilon}-J_t)\mathbb{1}_{[t,T]}(t)dt \\
&- \int_0^{T'} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zD_{s,z}v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)N(ds,dz)dt\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and then equation (4.9) is proved.

On the other hand, thanks to the chain rule 3.9, see also [18, Th. 12.8], and from theorem 3.13 together with the adeptness property of the Malliavin derivative, i.e. $D_{s,z}X(t) = 0$ if $s > t$, we have that, for a.a. $s \in [t, t+\epsilon]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{s,z}v_t &= D_{s,z}[u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))] \\
&= D_{s,z}[u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon))] \\
&= u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon} + D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon) + D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon)) \\
&\quad - u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon))\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply equation (4.9) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C^\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\left[u\left(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon} + D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon) + D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon)\right)N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t\right. \\
&- \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} u\left(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)\right)]\,N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t \\
&+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \int_{(s-\epsilon)^+}^{s\wedge T'} z\left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right)dt\tilde{N}(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider separately the two terms

$$I_1^\epsilon := \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\Big[u\left(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}+D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)+D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon)\right)N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s,\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t$$
$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'}\int_t^{t+\epsilon} u\left(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)\right)]\,N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s,\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t\,,$$
$$I_2^\epsilon := \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\int_{(s-\epsilon)^+}^{s\wedge T'} z\left(u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)) - u(t, X_t, X(t))\right)dt\tilde{N}(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s,\mathrm{d}z)\,.$$

As regards I_2^ϵ, the proof proceed exactly as in [23, Prop. 4.4.] or in [21, Th. 3.1], for the sake of completeness we state the proof. We have to show that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'} v_t \mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)\mathrm{d}t \to 0\,,$$

in $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$, since this implies $I_2^\epsilon \to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, together with the boundedness of the Skorokhod integral. Thus, for a general $y \in \mathbb{L}^{1,2}$, we have

$$T^\epsilon(y)_s = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'}(y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t)\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{(s-\epsilon)\vee t}^{s\wedge T}(y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t)dt\,,$$

so that we have to show that $T^\epsilon(y) \to 0$ in $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$.

Let us recall the isomorphism

$$L^2\left([0,T];\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \simeq \mathbb{L}^{1,2}\,.$$

Following [23], we have to show that $\|T^\epsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^{1,2}}(\mathbb{R})$ is bounded uniformly w.r.t. ϵ. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^\epsilon(y)_s\|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})} &\le \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\int_0^{T'}\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt\int_0^{T'}|y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt\\
&\le \int_0^{T'}|y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)dt\,,\\
\|T^\epsilon(y)_s\|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_0^{T'}\|T^\epsilon(y)_s\|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}ds\\
&\le \int_0^{T'}|y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}\int_0^{T'}\mathbb{1}_{A^\epsilon}(t,s)ds\,dt\\
&\le \int_0^{T'}|y_{t+\epsilon}-y_t|^2_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}dt \le 2\|y\|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so the claim follows, see, e.g. [23, Prop. 4.4.] or [21, Th. 3.1].

As regards I_1^ϵ, we have

$$I_1^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\,u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}+D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)+D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s,\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t$$
$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\,u(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon))]N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s,\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t := K_1^\epsilon - K_2^\epsilon\,.$$

Let us first prove that

$$K_2^\epsilon \to \int_0^{T'}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(t,X_t,X(t))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}t,\mathrm{d}z)\,,\quad \mathbb{P}-a.s. \tag{4.10}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$.

From assumption (4.5) on the function u and the right-continuity of X, it follows from the Lebesgue differentiation theorem and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T'}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t \\
&= \int_0^{T'+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{s\vee\epsilon}^{(s+\epsilon)\wedge T'} u(t, X_t, X(t))dtN(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, dz) \\
&\to \int_0^{T'}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(s, X_s, X(s))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$\mathbb{P}-$a.s., as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Let us now prove that

$$K_1^\epsilon \to \int_0^{T'}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(t, X_t, X(t)+\gamma(t, X_t, z))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}t, \mathrm{d}z)\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Theorem 3.13 assures

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon) =& \gamma(s, X_s, X(s), z) + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} D_{s,z}[\mu(q, X_q, X(q))]\mathrm{d}q \\
&+ \int_s^{t+\epsilon} D_{s,z}[\sigma(q, X_q, X(q))]\mathrm{d}W(q) \\
&+ \int_s^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{s,z}[\gamma(q, X_q, X(q)\zeta)]\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}q, \mathrm{d}\zeta)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Proceeding as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{T}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon} + D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon})N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}t \\
&\quad = \int_0^{T'+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{(s-\epsilon)^+}^{s\wedge T'} u\left(t+\epsilon, X_{t+\epsilon} + D_{s,z}X_{t+\epsilon}, X(t+\epsilon)\right. \\
&\quad \left. + D_{s,z}X(t+\epsilon)\right) dtN(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, dz)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

The continuity of u, together with the right-continuity of X, and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem provide that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\epsilon^{T'+\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{s\vee\epsilon}^{(s+\epsilon)\wedge T'} u(t, X_t + D_{s,z}X_t, X(t) + D_{s,z}X(t))dtN(\hat{\mathrm{d}}s, dz) \\
&\qquad \to \int_0^{T'}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} zu(t, X_t + D_{t,z}X_t, X(t) + D_{t,z}X(t))N(\hat{\mathrm{d}}t, \mathrm{d}z)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Moreover from theorem 3.13, together with the adaptedness of the Malliavin derivative, it follows

that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,z}X(t+\theta) &= \gamma(s,X_s,z) + \int_s^{t+\theta} D_{s,z}[\mu(q,X_q)]\mathrm{d}q \\ &\quad + \int_s^{t+\theta} D_{s,z}[\sigma(q,X_q)]\mathrm{d}W(q) \\ &\quad + \int_s^{t+\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} D_{s,z}[\gamma(q,X_q,\zeta)]\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}q,\mathrm{d}\zeta)\,, \quad \theta\in[-r,0]\,, \\ D_{s,z}X(t+\theta) &= 0\,, \quad s > t+\theta\,. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting thus the adaptedness of the Malliavin derivative, that is

$$\begin{aligned} D_{t,z}X_t(\theta) &= D_{t,z}X(t+\theta) = 0\,, \quad \text{for } \theta\in[-r,0)\,, \\ D_{t,z}X(t) &= \gamma(t,X_t,X(t),z)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

so that substituting equation (4.16) into equation (4.15) we then get the claim and then equation (4.12) is proved. equation (4.6) thus follows and the proof is then complete. □

5 Existence of mild solutions of Kolmogorov equation

The main goal of this section is to provide an existence and uniqueness result of a *mild* solution, whose meaning will be specified later, of a non-linear path-dependent partial integro-differential equation. Such a solution is connected to a forward-backward system with delay of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & = \mu(t,X_t^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t,X_t^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\mathrm{d}W(s) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\gamma(t,X_t^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t),z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t,\mathrm{d}z) \\ (X_\tau^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(\tau)) & = (\eta,x)\in M^2 \\ \mathrm{d}Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) & = \psi\left(t,X_t^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t),Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t),Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t),\tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ & \quad + Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0}U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t,\mathrm{d}z) \\ Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(T) & = \phi(X_T^{\tau,\eta,x},X^{\tau,\eta,x}(T)) \end{cases}, \tag{5.1}$$

where we have set for short

$$\tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z)\delta(z)\nu(dz)\,.$$

In particular the solution to the SFDDE (5.1) is the quadruple (X,Y,Z,U) taking values in $M^2\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. We refer to [16] for a detailed introduction to forward-backward system with jumps.

We now assume the following assumptions to hold.

Assumption 5.1.

(B1) The map $\psi:[0,T]\times M^2\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there exists $K>0$ and $m\geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(t,\eta_1,x_1,y_1,z_1,u_1)-\psi(t,\eta_2,x_2,y_2,z_2,u_2)| &\le K|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2 \\
&\quad + K(|y_1-y_2|+|z_1-z_2|+|u_1-u_2|)\,; \\
|\psi(t,\eta_1,x_1,y,z,u)-\psi(t,\eta_2,x_2,y,z,u)| & \\
&\le K(1+|(\eta_1,x_1)|_2+|(\eta_2,x_2)|_2+|y|)^m \\
&\quad \cdot(1+|z|+|u|)(|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)\,; \\
|\psi(t,0,0,0,0,0)| &\le K\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(t,\eta_1,x_1,y_1,z_1,u_1)$, $(t,\eta_2,x_2,y_2,z_2,u_2) \in [0,T]\times M^2\times\mathbb{R}^3$;

(B2) the map $\phi : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and there exist $K>0$ and $m\ge 0$ such that

$$
|\phi(\eta_1,x_1)-\phi(\eta_2,x_2)| \le K(1+|(\eta_1,x_1)|_2+|(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)^m|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2\,,
$$

for all (η_1,x_1), $(\eta_2,x_2) \in M^2$;

(B3) there exists $K>0$ such that the function $\delta:\mathbb{R}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
|\delta(z)| \le K|(1\wedge|z|)\,,\quad \delta(z)\ge 0\,,\quad z\in\mathbb{R}_0\,.
$$

Remark 5.2. Following [16], we have chosen this particular form for the generator ψ of the backward component in equation (5.1), due to the fact that it results to be convenient in many applications.

Remark 5.3. We want to stress that assumptions 5.1 imply that there exists a suitable constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(t,\eta,x,y,z,u)| &\le C(1+|(\eta,x)|_2^{m+1}+|y|+|z|+|u|)\,, \\
|\phi(\eta,x)| &\le C(1+|(\eta,x)|_2^{m+1})\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows we will denote by $\mathbb{K}([0,T])$ the space of all triplet (Y,Z,U) of predictable stochastic processes taking value in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(Y,Z,U)\|_{\mathbb{K}}^2 := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y(t)|^2\right] &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T|Z(t)|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}|U(t,z)|^2\nu(dz)dt\right] < \infty\,. \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following Proposition ensures the existence and the uniqueness of the solution to the system (5.1), under suitable properties of the coefficients.

Proposition 5.4. *Let us consider the coupled forward-backward system* (5.1) *which satisfies Assumptions 3.1 and Assumptions 5.1.*

Then, the coupled forward-backward system admits a unique solution

$$
(X^{\tau,\eta,x},Y^{\tau,\eta,x},Z^{\tau,\eta,x},U^{\tau,\eta,x}) \in \mathcal{S}^p\times\mathbb{K}([0,T])\,.
$$

Eventually we have that the map

$$
(\tau,\eta,x)\mapsto(X^{\tau,\eta,x},Y^{\tau,\eta,x},Z^{\tau,\eta,x},U^{\tau,\eta,x})\,,
$$

is continuous.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the solution to the forward component follows from theorem 3.3, since Assumptions 3.1 hold true by hypothesis, whereas for the existence and uniqueness of the backward component under Assumptions 5.1 follows [5, Cor. 2.3] or [16, Thm. 4.1.3] .

The continuity of the map $(\tau, \eta, x) \mapsto X^{\tau,\eta,x}$ is guaranteed by theorem 3.3, whereas the continuity of $(\tau, \eta, x) \mapsto (Y^{\tau,\eta,x}, Z^{\tau,\eta,x}, U^{(\tau,\eta,x})$ follows from [5, Prop. 1.1].

□

Theorem 5.5. *Let us consider the coupled forward-backward system* (5.1) *which satisfies Assumptions 3.1 and 5.1. Let us define the function* $u : [0,T] \times M^2 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(t, \eta, x) := Y_t^{t,\eta,x} ,$$

with $t \in [0,T]$ *and* $(\eta, x) \in M^2$,.

Then, there exist $C > 0$ *and* $m \geq 0$, *such that, for any* $t \in [0,T]$ *and any* (η_1, x_1), $(\eta_2, x_2) \in M^2$, u *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t, \eta_1, x_1) - u(t, \eta_2, x_2)| \leq C|(\eta_1, x_1) - (\eta_2, x_2)|_2(1 + |(\eta_1, x_1)|_2 + |(\eta_2, x_2)|_2)^m , \\ &|u(t, 0, 0)| \leq C . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, for every $t \in [0,T]$ *and* $(\eta, x) \in M^2$ *we have* $\mathbb{P}-a.s.$ *and for a.e.* $t \in [\tau, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) &= u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) , \\ Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) &= \partial_x^\sigma u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) = , \\ U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z) &= u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) + \gamma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), z)) \\ &\quad - u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) , \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where ∂_x^σ *is the generalized directional gradient in the sense of equation* (4.3).

Remark 5.6. Let us recall that, if u is sufficiently regular, then

$$Z^{(\tau,\eta,x)}(t) = \partial_x u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))\sigma(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) .$$

Proof. The fact that $u(t, \eta, x) := Y_t^{t,\eta,x}$ satisfies (5.3) immediately follows from the continuity of the map

$$(\tau, \eta, x) \mapsto (X^{\tau,\eta,x}, Y^{\tau,\tau,\eta,x}, Z^{\tau,\tau,\eta,x}, U^{\tau,\tau,\eta,x}) ,$$

proved in proposition 5.4 together with assumptions 3.1.

The representation of Y and Z follow from [21, Cor. 4.3]. As regards the process U, we use the standard notion of joint variation

$$\langle Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(\cdot), J(\cdot)\rangle_{[\tau,T]} = \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\, U^{\tau,\eta,x}(s,z) N(ds, dz) . \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, theorem 4.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u(\cdot, X_\cdot^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(\cdot)), J(\cdot)\rangle_{[\tau,T]} \\ &= \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\, (u(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s) + \gamma(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), z))\, N(ds, dz) \\ &- \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z\, (u(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)))\, N(ds, dz) . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

By comparing equation (5.5) and equation (5.6), the thesis follows. □

5.1 The non-linear Kolmogorov equation

The present section is devoted to prove that the solution to the forward-backward system (5.1) can be connected to a solution, in a suitable sense, to a of path-dependent partial integro-differential equations on the space M^2, driven by a suitable generator.

More precisely, let us consider the Markov process $(X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))$ defined as the solution of equation (3.1), and the corresponding Markov generator $\mathcal{L}_t$ defined in (3.5).

The path-dependent partial-integro differential equation we want to investigate has the following form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,\eta,x) + \mathcal{L}_t u(t,\eta,x) = \psi\left(t,\eta,x,u(t,\eta,x),\partial_x^\sigma u(t,\eta,x),\mathcal{J}u(t,\eta,x)\right) , \\ u(T,\eta,x) = \phi(\eta,x), \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, and $(\eta,x) \in M^2$, where $u : [0,T]\times M^2 \to, \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown function, ψ and ϕ are two given functions such that $\psi : [0,T]\times M^2 \times \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \to, \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : M^2 \to, \mathbb{R}$, $\partial_x^\sigma u$ is the *generalized directional gradient* and $\mathcal{J}$ is a functional acting as

$$\mathcal{J}u(t,\eta,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left(u(t,\eta,x+\gamma(t,\eta,x,z)) - u(t,\eta,x)\right)\delta(z)\nu(dz)\,.$$

In particular, we want to look for a *mild solution* of equation (5.7), according to the following definition.

Definition 5.7. Let us consider the partial integro-differential equation (5.7). A *mild solution* to equation (5.7) is a function $u : [0,T]\times M^2 \to, \mathbb{R}$ if there exist $C > 0$ and $m \geq 0$, such that, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and any (η_1,x_1), $(\eta_2,x_2) \in M^2$, u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t,\eta_1,x_1) - u(t,\eta_2,x_2)| \leq C|(\eta_1,x_1)-(\eta_2,x_2)|_2(1+|(\eta_1,x_1)|_2+|(\eta_2,x_2)|_2)^m \\ &|u(t,0,0)| \leq C \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and the following identity hold true

$$u(t,\eta,x) = P_{t,T}\phi(\eta,x) + \int_t^T P_{t,s}[\psi(\cdot,u(s,\cdot),\partial_x^\sigma u(s,\cdot),\mathcal{J}u(s,\cdot)](\eta,x)\mathrm{d}s\,, \tag{5.9}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, and $(\eta,x) \in M^2$ and where $P_{t,s}$ is the Markov semigroup for equation (1.2) introduced in equation (3.4).

Theorem 5.8. *Assume that Assumptions 3.1 and Assumptions 5.1 hold true. Then, the path-dependent partial integro-differential equation* (5.7) *admits a unique mild solution u, in the sense of definition 5.7. In particular, the mild solution u coincide with the function u introduced in teorem 5.5.*

Proof. In what follows, as above, we will denote for short

$$\tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U^{\tau,\eta,x}(s,z)\delta(z)\nu(dz)\,,$$

Let us consider the backward stochastic differential equation in equation (5.1), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{t,\eta,x}(t) = \phi(X_T^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(T)) &+ \int_t^T \psi\left(X_s^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(s), Y^{t,\eta,x}(s), Z^{t,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{t,\eta,x}(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_t^T Z^{t,\eta,x}(s)\mathrm{d}W(s) + \int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} U^{t,\eta,x}(s,z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation and exploiting equation (5.4), then Y satisfies equation (5.9).

In order to show the uniqueness let $u(t,\eta,x),\ 0 \le \tau \le t \le T$, be a mild solution of equation (5.7), so that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,\eta,x) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(X_T^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(T))\right] \\ &+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \psi\left(X_s^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(s), Y^{t,\eta,x}(s), Z^{t,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{t,\eta,x}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\right] . \end{aligned}$$

By recalling that $X_t^{(\tau,\eta,x)}$ is a Markov process, and denoting by $\mathbb{E}^t$ the conditional expectation w.r.t. the filtration $\mathbb{F}_t$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, X_t^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(t)) &= \mathbb{E}^t\left[\phi(X_T^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(T))\right] \\ &+\mathbb{E}^t\left[\int_\tau^T \psi\left(X_s^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(s), Y^{t,\eta,x}(s), Z^{t,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{t,\eta,x}(s)\right) ds\right] \\ &-\mathbb{E}^t\left[\int_\tau^t \psi\left(X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\right] . \end{aligned}$$

We set, for short,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &:= \phi(X_T^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(T)) \\ &+ \int_\tau^T \psi\left(X_s^{t,\eta,x}, X^{t,\eta,x}(s), Y^{t,\eta,x}(s), Z^{t,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{t,\eta,x}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the representation theorem of martingales, see [2, Thm. 5.3.5], there exist two predictable processes $\bar{Z} \in L^2(\Omega \times [0,T])$ and $\bar{U} \in L^2(\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) &= u(\tau,\eta,x) \\ &+ \int_\tau^t \bar{Z}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)\mathrm{d}W(s) + \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \bar{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s,z)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z) \\ &- \int_\tau^t \psi\left(X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\,. \end{aligned}$$

By applying theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) = \phi(X_T^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(T)) + \\ &- \int_t^T \sigma(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s))\partial_x u(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s))\mathrm{d}W(s) \\ &- \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} [(u(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s) + \gamma(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), z), z)) \\ &- u(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)))]\,\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z) \\ &+ \int_t^T \psi\left(X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), \tilde{U}^{\tau,\eta,x}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\,. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing last equation with the backward component of equation (5.1), we note that $(Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), Z^{\tau,\eta,x}(t), U^{\tau,\eta,x}(t,z))$ and the following three functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) \,, \\
&\partial_x^\sigma(u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t))) \,, \\
&u(t, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s) + \gamma(s, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s), z)) - u(t, X_s^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(s))
\end{aligned}$$

solve the same equation. Therefore, due to the uniqueness of the solution, we have that

$$Y^{\tau,\eta,x}(t) = u(t, X_t^{\tau,\eta,x}, X^{\tau,\eta,x}(t)) \,.$$

Setting $\tau = t$, we obtain $Y^{(\tau,\eta,x)}(t) = u(t,\eta,x)$ and the proof is complete. □

References

[1] W.G. Aiello, H.I. Freedman, *A time-delay model of single-species growth with stage structure,* Math. Biosci. **101(2)** (1990), 139–153.

[2] D. Applebaum *Lévy processes and stochastic calculus.* Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[3] M. Arriojas, Y. Hu, S.-E.A. Mohammed, G. Pap, *A delayed Black and Scholes formula,* Stochastic Analysis and Applications **25.2** (2007): 471-492.

[4] D. Banos, F. Cordoni, G. Di Nunno, L. Di Persio, E.E. Rose, *Stochastic systems with memory and jumps,* (2015) work in progress.

[5] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, E. Pardoux. *Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations*, Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes **60.1-2** (1997): 57-83.

[6] I. Boutle, R.H.S. Taylor, R.A. Römer, *El Niño and the delayed action oscillator,* Am. J. Phys., **75(1)** (2007), http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.2358155.

[7] M.H. Chang, R. Youree, *The European option with hereditary price structures: basic theory.* Appl. Math. Comput., **102** (1999), 279–296.

[8] R. Cont, D. Fournié, *Change of variable formulas for non-anticipative functionals on path space,* J. Funct. Anal., **259(4)** (2010), 1043-1072.

[9] R. Cont, D. Fournié, *Functional Itô calculus and stochastic integral representation of martingales,* Ann. Prob., **41(1)** (2013), 109–133.

[10] F. Cordoni, L. Di Persio, *A note on a representation theorem for path-dependent PIDE with Lévy noise,* (2015) work in progress.

[11] F. Cordoni, L. Di Persio, *Pricing PIDE for path-dependent options in some stochastic volatility models with jumps,* (2015) work in progress.

[12] A. Cosso, F. Russo. *Strong-viscosity solutions: semilinear parabolic PDEs and path-dependent PDEs.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.02927 (2015).

[13] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[14] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[15] K.R. Dahl, S.-E. A. Mohammed, B. Øksendal, E.E. Røse, *Optimal control of systems with noisy memory and BSDEs with Malliavin derivatives,* arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.4034 (2014).

[16] L. Delong, *Backward stochastic differential equations with jumps and their actuarial and financial applications*, EAA Series, Springer-Verlag, 2013.

[17] L. Delong, P. Imkeller, *On Malliavin's differentiability of BSDEs with time delayed generators driven by Brownian motions and Poisson random measures,* Stoch. Proc. Appl., **120(9)** (2010), 1748–1775.

[18] G. Di Nunno, B. Øksendal, F. Proske, *Malliavin Calculus for Lévy Processes with Applications to Finance.* Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[19] F. Flandoli, G. Zanco, *An infinite-dimensional approach to path-dependent Kolmogorov's equations,* arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6165 (2013).

[20] F. Flandoli, F. Russo, and G. Zanco. *Infinite-dimensional calculus under weak spatial regularity of the processes*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05744 (2015).

[21] M. Fuhrman, F. Masiero, G. Tessitore, *Stochastic Equations with Delay: Optimal Control via BSDEs and Regular Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations,* Siam J. Control Optim., **48(7)** (2010), 4624–4651.

[22] M. Fuhrman, G. Tessitore, *Nonlinear Kolmogorov Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces: the Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Approach and Applications to Optimal Control,* Ann. Prob., **30(3)** (2002), 1397–1465.

[23] M. Fuhrman, G. Tessitore, *Generalized directional gradients, backward stochastic differential equations and mild solutions of semilinear parabolic equations,* Appl. Math. Optim., **51(3)** (2005) 279–332.

[24] J. Jacod, A.N. Shiryaev *Limit theorems for stochastic processes.* Vol. 288. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[25] J. A. Leòn, J. L. Solé, F. Utzet, J. Vives, *On Lévy processes, Malliavin calculus and market models with jumps,* Financ. Stoch., 6(2) (2002), 197–225.

[26] Y.I. Kazmerchuk, A.V. Swishchuk, J.H. Wu, *The pricing of options for securities markets with delayed response,* (2004) preprint.

[27] Y.I. Kazmerchuk, A.V. Swishchuk, J.H. Wu, *Black-Scholes formula revisited: securities markets with delayed response,* (2004) preprint.

[28] U. Kuchler, E. Platen, *Time delay and noise explaining cyclical fluctuations in prices of commodities,* University of Technology, Sydney, 2007.

[29] J.A. León, J.L. Solè, F. Utzet, J. Vives, *On Lévy processes, Malliavin calculus and market models with jumps,* Financ. Stoch., **6(2)** (2002), 197–225.

[30] S.-E.A. Mohammed, *Stochastic Functional Differential Equations.* Research Notes in Mathematics No. 99. Pitman, Boston, 1984.

[31] S.-E.A. Mohammed, *Stochastic differential equation with memory: theory, examples, and applications,* in: *Decreusefond, L., Gjerde, J., Oksendal, B., Ustunel, A.S. (eds.) Stochastic Analysis. Progress in Probability,* **42**, 1–77. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[32] S.-E.A. Mohammed, F. Yan. *A stochastic calculus for systems with memory.* Stochastic analysis and applications 23.3 (2005): 613-657.

[33] B. Øksendal, A. Sulem, *Applied stochastic control of jump diffusions.* Vol. 498, Springer Berlin, 2005.

[34] S. Peszat, J. Zabczyk *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. An evolution equation approach,* Encyclopedia Math. Appl., **113**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[35] E. Petrou, *Malliavin calculus in Lévy spaces and applications in finance.* Diss. University of London, Imperial College London, 2006.

[36] P.E. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations: Version 2.1.* Vol. 21 Springer, 2004.

[37] M. Reiß, M. Riedle, O. van Gaans, *Delay differential equations driven by Lévy processes: Stationarity and Feller properties,* Stoch. Proc. Appl., **116(10)** (2006), 1409–1432.

[38] F. Russo, P. Vallois, *Forward, backward and symmetric stochastic integration,* Probab. Theory Related Fields, **97(3)** (1993), 403–421.

[39] F. Russo, P. Vallois, *The generalized covariation process and Itô formula,* Stoch. Proc. Appl., **59** (1995), 81–104.

[40] F. Russo, P. Vallois, *Itô formula for C^1-functions of semimartingales,* Probab. Theory Related Fields, **104(1)** (1996), 27–41.

[41] K-I. Sato, Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[42] J. Solè, L.F. Utzet, J. Vives, *Canonical Lévy process and Malliavin calculus,* Stoch. Proc. Appl., **117(2)** (2007), 165–187.

[43] Swishchuk, Anatoliy. *Modelling and pricing of variance swaps for multi-factor stochastic volatilities with delay.* Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly 14.4 (2006): 439-467.